Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/075,404	JOHNSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) <u>ALEX NOGUEROLA</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Leonard Bowersox</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 23 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed: Guttman US 5,296,116		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(ile Mazero rale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/075,404

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that if the word -- constant -- is inserted before "run field" in claims 23 and 24 then all of the claims would be allowed, as Gutman requires some modulation of the run field. Mr. Bowersox requested an Office action so that Applicants may have more time to consider this proposed amendment.